


**National Association of School Psychologists**

# **Considerations for Distance Education in School Psychology**

**September 2017**

**NASP**   
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF  
School Psychologists

Approximately 240 universities offer a school psychology program in the United States (Rossen & von der Embse, 2014), either at the specialist level, doctoral level, or both. This represents only an 8% increase compared to 35 years ago, suggesting a relative plateau in the number of institutions offering a program in school psychology. This plateau has occurred during a time of significant evolution of the profession and growth in the recognition and need for more comprehensive school psychological services. At this pace, the number of graduate education programs may not sufficiently meet the demands of the field in light of ongoing shortages (NASP, 2016). As a result, many in the field have begun to examine the potential of distance education to help increase access and availability of school psychology graduate preparation.

## **DISTANCE EDUCATION**

Various terms have been used to describe education that occurs at a distance. For the purposes of this document, the concept of learning that delivers instruction to students who are separated from the instructor and/or involves online learning, will be referred to as *distance education*. The Higher Learning Commission's definitions of distance education can be located at <https://www.hlcommission.org/General/glossary.html#InstitutionalChange>, and they offer the following:

- *Distance-delivered courses* are courses in which at least 75% of the instruction and interaction occurs via electronic communication, correspondence, or equivalent mechanisms, with the faculty and students physically separated from each other.
- *Distance-delivered programs* are certificate or degree programs in which 50% or more of the required courses may be taken as distance-delivered courses.
- *Distance education* can use one or more of the technologies listed below to support regular and substantive interaction between the students and the instructor. Distance education can include synchronously (students/instructors participating at the same time) or asynchronously (students/instructors have free access to materials and participate with independent schedules) instruction.

Distance Education technologies may include:

- the Internet;
- one-way and two-way transmissions through open broadcast, closed circuit, cable, microwave, broadband lines, fiber optics, satellite, or wireless communications devices;

---

### **Considerations for Distance Education in School Psychology**

- audio conferencing; or
- video cassettes, DVDs and CD-ROMs, if used in a course in conjunction with any of the technologies listed above.

The American Psychological Association (2010) defines distance education as a formal education process wherein the majority of a student's instruction occurs independent of the same location of their instructor. The National Association of School Psychologists' (NASP) Program Accreditation Board defined distance education similarly, as an educational process where instruction occurred without the learner and the instructor being physically in the same place at the same time (Harvey, 2015).

The integration of distance education components exists on a continuum at the course and program levels, ranging from traditional courses to fully online content delivery. The Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) has separated programs into categories as determined by the extent to which they utilize distance learning methodologies in their programming. These classifications are found in the Appendix A.

## **EXISTING STANDARDS FOR DISTANCE EDUCATION**

While no standards exist specific to distance education for graduate preparation in school psychology or related professions, considerations for higher education distance education are found within guidelines in the Higher Learning Commission. The Quality Matters (2017) course standards and rubric for undergraduate higher education provides eight general standards for course design and delivery, including learning objectives and assessment, instructional material and course activities and interaction, learner support, and access and usability (<https://www.qualitymatters.org/qa-resources/rubric-standards/higher-ed-rubric>). However, there is continued concern with the lack of common metrics to measure the quality of learning outcomes for distance education courses compared to face-to-face course delivery (Allen, Seaman, Poulin, & Straut, 2016).

## **DISTANCE EDUCATION IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY**

Little research to date has focused on the use of distance education in the field of school psychology (Hendricker, Saek, & Viola, 2016). Some educators have expressed concerns with offering assessment and clinically-oriented courses (e.g., counseling) through distance education modalities (Hendricker et al., 2016), and are concerned about the ability to successfully assess

professional dispositions and skills. Others noted the benefits of distance education to facilitate the supervision of practicum and internship experiences (Hendricker et al., 2016).

Currently, the NASP (2010) graduate preparation standards do not describe any unique criteria for distance education, *nor do they preclude any programs utilizing distance education from applying for or obtaining program approval or accreditation*. The standards focus on programs assessing the knowledge and skills of their candidates as well as obtaining assessment data to ensure candidates achieve adequate competencies in each domain of practice (NASP, 2010), regardless of delivery method.

Aside from standards within the field, other factors may influence the availability and accessibility of distance education modalities in school psychology. For example, budgets at the institutional, local, state, and federal levels impact both enrollment (i.e., financial aid) and the ability to build, fund, and maintain new programs. Institutions also may place demands on programs, requiring them to adopt new procedures or practices—such as requiring use of multiple adjunct faculty positions or distance education technologies—that may conflict with accreditation policies or requirements.

## **PROGRAM LEVEL CONSIDERATIONS**

Programs wishing to start a new distance education program or develop a respecialization program will need to initiate the process through the university governance structure. There is no universal way to start an educational program at a university, though one common theme required is the identification of a champion to spearhead the efforts and become the go-to person to research the field, develop program materials, present ideas, and answer questions. Additionally, if a university is interested in developing a distance education program or if there is pressure from university administrators to develop a distance education program, there are numerous processes and procedures required in order to ensure high quality:

- Establish a need in the market using data to support the viability (or lack thereof) for a distance education program
- Consider the needs of prospective graduate students residing outside the state of the institution, including whether the program helps them meet their state’s credentialing requirements, and issues related to state authorization. The National Council for State Authorization Reciprocity Agreements ([nc-sara.org](http://nc-sara.org)) was developed to address state authorization, and as of 2017, the majority of states participate, though programs should view the NC-SARA website for more information prior to enrolling students in a distance education program.

- Examine options for a ***Learning Management System*** (LMS), which is a software application for the administration, documentation, tracking, reporting, and delivery of educational courses or training programs (e.g., Blackboard, Moodle, Canvas). These systems allow for the organization and disbursement of content, asynchronous communication, and assessment
- Utilize instructional designers that have training in the use of educational techniques within an online environment. Simply putting existing materials in an online format without respect to learning theories, especially theories related to online learning, will not produce the desired results (Bonvillian & Singer, 2013; Clark & Mayer, 2011).
- Provide ongoing faculty training and support, including for adjunct faculty.
- Consider how to evaluate online programs. Popular metrics for online evaluation (e.g., Quality Matters) tend to focus on the building and design of the online class rather than the quality of the online instruction (Piña & Bohn, 2014). The inclusion of additional metrics (e.g., faculty login frequency, responding to enquiries) may provide a more holistic evaluation of the instruction within the course (Piña & Bohn, 2014).
- Ensure support for the students. Within the online environment, students may experience challenges related to social interaction, lack of practical experience, and technology (Healy, Block, & Judge, 2014). Both the social interaction and technology needs can be remedied through clear guidance within the Learning Management System or a responsive help desk.
- Make requirements clear to students, including connectivity (e.g., hardline speed requirements) and hardware (e.g., webcam, microphone, printer/scanner) expectations *before* a student is enrolled in a program. In addition, programs can screen prospective students to assess if distance education modalities are a good fit (e.g., person is disciplined, self-motivated, comfortable with technology, etc.).
- Examine avenues to provide access to test kits, protocols, and other materials. Programs may consider developing a partnership with field sites. Some distance education programs have developed a library of test kits that are mailed to students to use under supervision during course work and practicum experience. Attention should be given to ensure that all ethical principles are met when handling assessment materials.
- Ensure that field experiences meet the same rigor and expectations around vetting supervisors and sites, and exposure to a comprehensive range of experiences and opportunities.
- Establish expectations to maintain privacy and confidentiality of information being uploaded or

shared about students. Correspondence and discussions should be made within the LMS. E-mail, video chat services (e.g., Skype), and other social platforms are less secure and prone to external threats.

- Consider using aids (e.g., turnitin.com, webcam monitors, lockdown browsers) to ensure integrity of assignments and tests of the students enrolled in the program.

## **CONSIDERATIONS AT THE COURSE LEVEL**

In addition to considerations at the program level, there are also considerations at the course level:

- Create a sense of community among the cohort of students. Technological applications offer secure options for discussion. Additionally, distance education programs may consider on-site training and collaboration opportunities within some courses.
- Maintain engagement through use of video lectures, PowerPoint with voice over, video/audio announcements, video introducing modules, video/audio feedback on assignments, online learning module messaging, video conferencing appointments, or traditional e-mail. Other file sharing platforms like Dropbox or GoogleDocs allow for consistent collaboration and the creation of ePortfolios by program candidates.
- Maintain a manageable student cohort size. Orellano (2009) concluded that a class size of 16 is considered optimal in order to achieve the highest level of interaction among and between students within a distance education course; however, the NASP recommended faculty to student ratio of 12:1 should be considered (NASP, 2010).
- Balance synchronous (i.e., real time, interactive) and asynchronous (i.e., on-demand, discussion boards, threads, recorded presentations) communication and interaction.
- Consider appropriate methods of student evaluation. Demonstration of applied knowledge can be easily assessed from assignments, monitored or unmonitored tests, and interactive discussions. Demonstration of integration, synthesis, and application of knowledge to practical solutions within the field of school psychology can be provided with case study vignette applications, capstone papers and video presentations, video practice of skills, or synchronous feedback opportunities.
- Evaluate professional dispositions by surveying university instructors, field supervisors, and other staff with whom they work.

## CONCLUSION AND NEXT STEPS

School psychology may see an increase in the use of distance education to prepare future professionals due to various influences in higher education (e.g., budgets, market demand) and the field (e.g., school psychology shortages). While learner outcomes and expectations for distance education should be identical to learner outcomes for the equivalent campus course, the methods and strategies differ for instructional delivery, interaction between instructors and students, assessment of demonstrated knowledge and applied skills, and engagement and sense of community. Effective instruction using distance education requires an established need and infrastructure for distance education, supports for course development (e.g., instructional designers, professional development), technical support, effective communication, and the proper hardware and software (e.g., computer, Internet access, learning management system (LMS)). Additionally, institutions pursuing distance education must primarily consider the quality of preparation, and programs must be invested in maintaining necessary professional standards. *Program standards do not change to accommodate distance education; rather, distance education must be delivered in a manner that ensures high-quality outcomes to meet professional standards.*

Despite the potential benefits of distance education, numerous cautions and limitations should be acknowledged. Little research to date has focused on the use of distance education in the field of school psychology (Hendricker, Saeki, & Viola, 2017). Additionally, no common metrics exist to measure the quality of learning outcomes for distance education courses compared to face-to-face course delivery. Some educators have also expressed concerns with offering assessment and clinically-oriented courses (e.g., counseling) through distance education modalities (Hendricker et al., 2016), and are concerned about the ability to successfully assess professional dispositions and skills. Many faculty members have also not received appropriate training in delivering instruction through distance education.

This document outlines some considerations before programs or institutions begin offering distance education. Notably, ***this document, and the NASP Board of Directors, does not endorse or refute the use of distance education in graduate preparation.*** Further, nothing in this report, or any existing NASP standard precludes graduate preparation programs utilizing distance education methodologies from applying for NASP program approval or accreditation.

Note: Contact Eric Rossen ([erossen@naspweb.org](mailto:erossen@naspweb.org)) or Melissa Reeves ([mereev@aol.com](mailto:mereev@aol.com)) to request a copy of the comprehensive task force report presented to the NASP Board of Directors.

Distance Education Task Force Members and contributors to the distance education reports: Jennifer Kitson, Chair; Rob Dixon, Delegate Representative; Lisa Kelly-Vance, Strategic Liaison; Connie Patterson, Graduate Education Committee; Natalie Politikos, Program Accreditation; Jamie Chaffin, Rural School Psychology Interest Group and Shortages Task Force; Eric Rossen, NASP Office Liaison; Melissa Reeves, NASP President.



## REFERENCES

- Allen, I. E., Seaman, J., Poulin, R., & Straut, T. T. (2016). Online report card: Tracking online education in the United States. *Sloan Consortium*, 1–4. Retrieved from <http://onlinelearningsurvey.com/reports/onlinereportcard.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2010). Implementing Regulation C-27: Distance and electronically mediated education in doctoral programs. Commission on Accreditation.
- Bonvillian, W. B., & Singer, S. R. (2013). The online challenge to higher education. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 29(4), 23–30.
- Harvey, L. (2015). *Analytic Quality Glossary*. Retrieved from <http://www.qualityresearchinternational.com/glossary/>
- Healy, S., Block, M., & Judge, J. (2014). Certified Adapted Physical Educators' Perceptions of Advantages and Disadvantages of Online Teacher Development. *Palaestra*, 28(4), 14–16. Retrieved from <http://prx-usa.lirn.net/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ccm&AN=103867559&site=eds-live>
- Hendricker, E., Saeki, E., & Viola, S. (2017). Trends and perceptions of distance learning in school psychology. *Trainers' Forum: Journal of the Trainers of School Psychologists*, 34(2), 36–68.
- National Association of School Psychologists. (2010). *Standards for graduate preparation of school psychologists*. Bethesda, MD: Author.
- National Association of School Psychologists. (2016). *Addressing shortages in school psychology: Resource guide*. Bethesda, MD: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.nasponline.org/resources-and-publications/resources/schoolpsychology/shortages-in-school-psychology-resource-guide>
- Piña, A. A., & Bohn, L. (2014). More than *student* surveys and design rubrics. *The Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 15(3), 25–34.
- Quality Matters. (2017). Non-annotated standards from the QM higher education rubric, 5th Edition. Retrieved from: <https://www.qualitymatters.org/qa-resources/rubric-standards/higher-ed-rubric>
- Rossen, E., & von der Embse, N. (2014). Status of school psychology graduate education in the United States. In P. L. Harrison & A. Thomas (Eds.), *Best practices in school psychology: Foundations* (pp. 503–512). Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.

## APPENDIX A. GUIDE FOR DEFINITIONS DESCRIBING COURSE AND PROGRAM LEVELS

The following definitions, adapted from Allen, Seaman, Poulin, & Straut (2016) and CAEP/NCATE (CAEP, 2015) may be considered to provide common terms.

### Types of Graduate Courses

Individual graduate courses utilize distance learning methods to varying degrees along this continuum:

- **Traditional Course:** No online technology is used. All course content is delivered through verbal interaction and with written materials.
- **Web-Facilitated Course:** Less than 30% of course content is delivered with distance learning methods; course is mostly face-to-face. A course management system or course webpage may be utilized. The number of class meetings is reduced only slightly if at all.
- **Blended Course:** 30–79% of course content is delivered with distance learning methods, with fewer face-to-face meetings than the preceding types of courses.
- **Online Course:** 80% or more of content is delivered with distance learning methods. Typically there are no face-to-face meetings.

These definitions are adapted from Allen, Seaman, Poulin, & Straut (2016). Allen and Seaman (2014) and are utilized in the annual Sloan Consortium survey of 2,800 institutions' distance learning practices.

### Types of Graduate Programs

School psychology graduate programs—as opposed to courses—can be categorized by the extent to which they utilize distance learning methodology as follows:

- **Campus Program:** Campus-based degree program in which face-to-face instruction and supervision predominate. Such a program typically provides traditional and Web-facilitated courses but few, if any, blended or online courses. More than 90% of program content is delivered—and learning is accomplished through—live, face-to-face, instructor–student interaction.
- **Hybrid Program:** Degree program that combines some distance learning with campus-based, face-to-face instruction and supervision. A hybrid program delivers 50–90% of program content, exclusive of internship, by live, face-to-face, instructor–student interaction.
- **Online Program:** Degree program in which less than half of the required program content, exclusive of internship, is provided by live, face-to-face, instructor–student interaction.

These definitions are adapted from those used by CAEP/NCATE (NCATE, 2008).